# Data-Driven Models for Space Weather Prediction

Mark Cheung<sup>1,2</sup>

1. Lockheed Martin Solar & Astrophysics Laboratory, Palo Alto, California, USA

2. Stanford University, California, USA

### https://tinyurl.com/ictp-cheung



ISWI Workshop 20-24th May 2019, ICTP, Trieste, Italy



### Data-Driven Models

### Physics-based Models:

- scenarios
- conditions.
- evolving boundary conditions
- **Empirical Data-Driven Models:**

Data-inspired Models: Simplified simulations to mimic observed

Data-constrained Models: Time-independent models satisfying observations at an instant in time. Includes models that may start with a data-constrained initial condition but driven by idealized boundary

Data-Driven Models: Time-dependent models evolved in response to

• Physics-rules not prescribed. Try to discover relations in the data.

## **Magnetized Wind and Ejections** N











### Light (all forms)







### Examples of Data-inspired Models



- Smoothed MDI magnetogram of AR 10930 so that B=3 kG -> 200 G
- A twisted flux rope was emerged into the pre-existing sunspot. The interaction between the two magnetic systems leads to an eruption

### Left: Lugaz et al. (2011, ApJ)

- Idealized flux rope inserted into background field extrapolated from a synoptic magnetogram.
- MHD evolution of the non-force-free initial condition leads to a CME

Right: Fan (2011, ApJ)





### Examples of Data-inspired Models



Torok et al. (2011, ApJL): MHD model of sympathetic eruptions inspired by Aug 1st 2010 events.



### Data-Constrained Model: Aug 21st Eclipse Predictions



Prediction made August 14, 2017 Based on SDO/HMI and SDO/AIA data Using software developed by Predictive Science, Inc. http://www.predsci.com/corona/aug2017ecli pse/home.php rsackett00@yahoo.com Cape Girardeau, MO August 21, 2017 1:21 pm CDT High dynamic range composite processed to bring out coronal streamers and earthshine on moon. Sky & Telescope online gallery



Data-Constrained Models

Alfvén Wave Solar Model (AWSoM) van der Holst+ (2014, ApJ)

- •Fully-compressible MHD equations + Alfvén wave propagation and dissipation.
- •Used AIA (and STEREO) EUV images to validate the Alfvén wave heating model (as opposed to an analytical spatially-dependent heating model).
- •See Alvarado-Gómez et al. (2016,2018) for application to stellar winds of exoplanet host stars.









### SDO's main goal is to understand, driving toward a predictive capability, those solar variations that influence life on Earth.

C.L.E



### solar dynamics observatory

SDO images the sun's surface, atmosphere and interior. The mission generates about 3 terabytes worth of science data.





- 3 instruments monitoring the Sun all the time since May 2010.
- <u>Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA):</u> visible, UV, and EUV full disk images of the photosphere, chromosphere, transition region and corona at 4096x4096 pixels.
- <u>Helioseismic & Magnetic Imager (HMI)</u>: visible light full disk dopplergrams and magnetograms at 4096x4096 pixels.
- <u>EUV Variability Experiment (EVE)</u>: disk-integrated EUV irradiance spectra at 1 Å resolution.
- About 12 PBs of data to date.
- SDO science data has been part of over 3000 refereed publications (18 in Science, 17 in Nature, 46 PhD dissertations).
- Easy data access: First authors are spread out over 33 countries with co-authors from at least another 18 (source: NASA SDO project scientist Dean Pesnell).

# SDO in a Nutshell



















- **1.** No proprietary data withholding period.
- 2. Anyone with internet access can download full resolution, quick-look images within minutes of their capture. Fully calibrated science data available within days.
- 3. Mirror data archives located around the world, including at Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, MPI for Solar System Research (Göttingen), University of Lancashire (UK) and Korea.





### COLLABORATIVE METADATA ENVIRONMENT

1. Researchers / computer algorithms find features and events (e.g. sunspots, flares) and submit them to the Heliophysics Events Knowledgebase (HEK).

2. HEK is like a table of contents for solar data.

3. HEK tells the user which data sets (from different observatories) are available, which events are nearby. This accelerates their workflow and widens their discovery space.



# 

# **APPLICATION** PROGRAMMING **INTERFACES**

### COLLABORATIVE METADATA ENVIRONMENT







### Joint Science Operations Center (JSOC)

Match ALL words 🗘

**HMI Data Products** 

**AIA Data Products** 

**MDI Data Products** 

**SHA Data Products** 

**IRIS Data Products** 

**SID Data Products** 

### **\*\* Useful Links \*\***

- <u>SDO Data Use Policy</u>
- HMI Coverage Tables
- <u>HMI Support Information</u>
- <u>AIA Coverage Tables & Release Notes</u>
- JSOC Processing Status
- JSOC System Status
- HMI Event Tables

Welcome to the Joint Science Operations Center (JSOC) Science Data Processing (SDP) home. Data products from the Solar Dynamics Observatory, as well as certain other missions and instruments, are available from the JSOC database. The following instruments and projects have data archived here:

Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager (HMI): is one of three instruments aboard the Solar Dynamics Observatory(SDO) designed to study oscillations and the magnetic field at the solar surface. HMI observes the full solar disk at 6173 Å with a resolution of 1 arc second and is a successor to the Michelson Doppler Imager(MDI) on the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory(SOHO).

Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA): is another instrument board the Solar Dynamics Observatory(SDO) designed to study the solar corona, taking simultaneous full disc images in multiple wavelengths of the corona and transitional region (up to half a solar radius above the solar limb), with 1.5 arc sec resolution and 12 second temporal cadence or better. The primary goal of the AIA Science Investigation is to significantly improve our understanding of the physics behind the activity displayed by the Sun's atmosphere, which drives space weather in the heliosphere and in planetary environments.

Michelson Doppler Imager (MDI): is the predecessor to the current HMI and was launched aboard the Solar and Heliospheric Observatory (SOHO). It is a project of the Stanford-Lockheed Institute for Space Research and part of an international collaboration to study the interior structure and dynamics of the Sun. All the data observed by MDI is now archived in the JSOC.

Stanford Helioseismology Archive (SHA): is a compilation of helioseismology data from various missions including Global Oscillations Network Group (GONG), Mount Wilson, Magneto-Optic Two-Height Instrument (MOTH), Taiwan Oscillations Network (TON) and others to facilitate research.

Interface Region Imaging Spectrograph (IRIS): is a multi-channel imaging spectrograph with a 20 cm UV telescope which will obtain UV spectra and images with high resolution in space (0.33-0.4 arc sec) and time (1s) focused on the chromosphere and transition region of the Sun. The primary goal of the IRIS explorer is to understand how the solar atmosphere is energized.

<u>Sudden Ionosphere Disturbance(SID)</u> Monitors program is an educational project to build and distribute inexpensive ionospheric monitors to students around the world. These monitors detect solar flares and other ionospheric disturbances. JSOC is the central data repository where students can exchange and compare data.

### Contacts | JSOC Home | Exportdata | Lookdata | SDO-NASA | Stanford Solar Home | Stanford Solar-Center





Visual Catalog Docs **Data Access** 

**SDO Privacy Notice** 





### drms documentation

- February 19, 2019
- https://github.com/sunpy/drms

https://pypi.python.org/pypi/drms

Python module for accessing HMI, AIA and MDI data.

### Introduction

- Requirements
- Installation
- Acknowledgements
- Tutorial
  - Basic usage
  - Data export requests
  - Example scripts
- API Reference
  - Classes
  - Constants and utility functions
  - Exceptions



Recently reported events Search Events Search Data **Contact Us Request AIA Data** HEK home API

### A Heliophysics Events Knowledgebase to facilitate scientific discovery

### List of Supported Feature/Event types and associated attributes

The full list of Event/Feature types and associated attributes can be found <u>here</u>.

### Web API

Web developers who wish to create third-party web applications interacting with the Heliophysics Events Registry should consult the <u>HER</u> Web API wiki, which provides examples on how to query HER, how to submit events to HER as well as other functions.



Sunpy has a <u>HEK module</u> for using HEK's web API.

### SolarSoft IDL

We are developing a number of software packages to help researchers use and contribute to the HEK project:

- <u>Ontology package</u>: SolarSoft API for reporting events and features to the Heliophysics Events Registry (HER), as well as for <u>querying</u> HER.
- Panorama: an OpenGL based browser for viewing solar data

http://www.lmsal.com/hek/api.html



- Hayes, Gallagher, McCauley, Dennis, ulletIreland & Inglis, "Pulsations in the Earth's Lower Ionosphere Synchronized with Solar Flare Emission", JGR, 2017.
- "To examine the lower ionosphere response to X-ray QPP, VLF radio signals at 24 kHz emitted by the communications transmitter in Maine, U.S. (station ID: NAA; 44.6.N, 67.2.W) were monitored at the Rosse Solar-Terrestrial Observatory in Birr, Ireland (53.1.N, 7.9.W) using Stanford University Sudden Ionospheric **Disturbance (SID)** monitor (Scherrer et al., 2008)."









### http://newserver.stil.bas.bg/ISWI/Projects/Instrument\_Area.html



### Data-Driven Space Weather Models

Physics-based Models:

- scenarios
- conditions.
- evolving boundary conditions
- **Empirical Data-Driven Models:**

Data-inspired Models: Simplified simulations to mimic observed

Data-constrained Models: Time-independent models satisfying observations at an instant in time. Includes models that may start with a data-constrained initial condition but driven by idealized boundary

<u>Data-Driven Models: Time-dependent models evolved in response to</u>

• Physics-rules not prescribed. Try to discover relations in the data.



### 2011/02/12 00:00:00

HMI vector magnetogram sequence of NOAA AR 11158 Credit: Keiji Hayashi (HMI)



### Visualization of Field Lines Top view

### 2011 - 02 - 10T20:51

Orange ~  $\int_{los} < j^2 > dl$ , where  $< j^2 >$  is fieldline-averaged j<sup>2</sup>. Positive polarity  $B_r$ . Negative polarity  $B_r$ 

### y side view

### x side view



### Data-Driven Space Weather Models

Physics-based Models:

- scenarios
- conditions.
- evolving boundary conditions
- Empirical Data-Driven Models:

Data-inspired Models: Simplified simulations to mimic observed

Data-constrained Models: Time-independent models satisfying observations at an instant in time. Includes models that may start with a data-constrained initial condition but driven by idealized boundary

• Data-Driven Models: Time-dependent models evolved in response to

Physics-rules not prescribed. Try to discover relations in the data.



2019 Challenges SDO ML (Cheung, fe ----**Janvier & Jin)** 

JUING WITH OUR STAR

- GNSS (Bhatt, continued from 2018)
- Hi resolution magnetograms over multiple cycles (Munoz-**Jaramillo & Wright)**

-----

### Google Cloud

HE MOON FOR GOO

 $\odot$ 

0



**VPRIZE** 

NASA





### FRONTIER DEVELOPMENT LAB

STON CONTROL

FDL 2019



STRONAUT HEALT

FOL 2019





LOCKHEED MARTIN

1000





FOR

EAR

miso



FDL 2019

**Researchers paid to work at NASA Ames and SETI Institute** for 8 weeks . 114

FDL

FC FD.

DL

FOL

FDL

FOL

FOL

NASA Ames Research Center - Silicon Valley - 2018

A Stand and the stand of the stand of the stand of the







http://svs.gsfc.nasa.gov/4009





### 94, 131, 171, 193, 211 & 335 Å

Best Model: Linear Model on [AIA Means, AIA stds] + AlexNet on Residuals + Average Pool <<u>Rel Err> < 5% for all emission lines, < 2% for most lines</u>

### 304.1600 & 1700 Å







# IMAGE MEANS SUDS



THE ASTROPHYSICAL JOURNAL SUPPLEMENT SERIES, 242:7 (11pp), 2019 May © 2019. The American Astronomical Society.

### OPEN ACCESS

### A Machine-learning Data Set Prepared from the NASA Solar Dynamics Observatory Mission

Ready for use with numpy, sklearn, python DL frameworks.

In this paper, we present a curated data set from the NASA Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO) mission in a format suitable for machine-learning research. Beginning from level 1 scientific products we have processed various instrumental corrections, down-sampled to manageable spatial and temporal resolutions, and synchronized observations spatially and temporally. We illustrate the use of this data set with two example applications: forecasting future extreme ultraviolet (EUV) Variability Experiment (EVE) irradiance from present EVE irradiance and translating Helioseismic and Magnetic Imager observations into Atmospheric Imaging Assembly observations. For each application, we provide metrics and baselines for future model comparison. We anticipate this curated data set will facilitate machine-learning research in heliophysics and the physical sciences generally, increasing the scientific return of the SDO mission. This work is a direct result of the 2018 NASA Frontier Development Laboratory Program. Please see the Appendix for access to the data set, totaling 6.5TBs. *Key words:* astronomical databases: miscellaneous – catalogs – editorials, notices – miscellaneous – surveys



Richard Galvez<sup>1</sup>, David F. Fouhey<sup>2</sup>, Meng Jin<sup>3,4</sup>, Alexandre Szenicer<sup>5</sup>, Andrés Muñoz-Jaramillo<sup>6</sup>, Mark C. M. Cheung<sup>3,7</sup>, Paul J. Wright<sup>8</sup>, Monica G. Bobra<sup>7</sup>, Yang Liu<sup>7</sup>, James Mason<sup>9</sup>, and Rajat Thomas<sup>10</sup> Center for Data Science, New York University, New York, NY 10011, USA; richard.galvez@nyu.edu <sup>2</sup> University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA <sup>3</sup> Lockheed Martin Solar & Astrophysics Laboratory, Palo Alto, CA, USA <sup>4</sup> SETI Institute, Mountain View, CA 94043, USA University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 2JD, UK <sup>6</sup> Southwest Research Institute, San Antonio, TX 78238, USA <sup>4</sup> Hansen Experimental Physics Laboratory, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA <sup>8</sup> SUPA School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK <sup>9</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA University of Amsterdam, 1012 WX Amsterdam, Netherlands Received 2019 January 19; revised 2019 March 11; accepted 2019 March 13; published 2019 May 8

### Abstract



### ML reveals systematic accumulation of electric current in lead-up to solar flares

### Table 4. Average values of SHARP features over flaring and nonflaring AR magnetic-field observations categorized by the SVM

USFLUX, $10^{22}$ MxTotal unsigned flux $3.30 \pm 0.29$ $1.07 \pm 0.11$ $2.48 \pm 0.19$ $0.56 \pm 0.03$ 94.TOTUSJH, $10^2$ G <sup>2</sup> /mTotal unsigned current helicity $23.87 \pm 2.09$ $7.89 \pm 0.81$ $19.45 \pm 1.42$ $4.29 \pm 0.21$ 91.TOTBSQ, $10^{10}$ G <sup>2</sup> Total Lorentz force $4.43 \pm 0.41$ $1.53 \pm 0.17$ $3.57 \pm 0.32$ $0.83 \pm 0.04$ 89.TOTUSJZ, $10^{13}$ ATotal unsigned vertical current $5.43 \pm 0.45$ $1.86 \pm 0.21$ $4.48 \pm 0.35$ $1.00 \pm 0.05$ 85.TOTFZ, $10^{23}$ dyneTotal vertical Lorentz force $-3.30 \pm 0.51$ $-0.61 \pm 0.19$ $-1.55 \pm 0.20$ $-0.34 \pm 0.04$ 78.SAVNCPP, $10^{13}$ ASum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ 74.ABSNJZH, G <sup>2</sup> /mAbsolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R.VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ $20.$ SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.$								
TOTUSJH, $10^2 \text{ G}^2/\text{m}$ Total unsigned current helicity $23.87 \pm 2.09$ $7.89 \pm 0.81$ $19.45 \pm 1.42$ $4.29 \pm 0.21$ $91.$ TOTBSQ, $10^{10} \text{ G}^2$ Total Lorentz force $4.43 \pm 0.41$ $1.53 \pm 0.17$ $3.57 \pm 0.32$ $0.83 \pm 0.04$ $89.$ TOTUSJZ, $10^{13} \text{ A}$ Total unsigned vertical current $5.43 \pm 0.45$ $1.86 \pm 0.21$ $4.48 \pm 0.35$ $1.00 \pm 0.05$ $85.$ TOTFZ, $10^{23}$ dyneTotal vertical Lorentz force $-3.30 \pm 0.51$ $-0.61 \pm 0.19$ $-1.55 \pm 0.20$ $-0.34 \pm 0.04$ $78.$ SAVNCPP, $10^{13} \text{ A}$ Sum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ $74.$ ABSNJZH, $G^2/\text{m}$ Absolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ $73.$ TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ $71.$ AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ $71.$ R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ $20.$ SHRGT45, %Area with shear $> 45^{\circ}$ $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.$	Symbol	<b>Brief description</b>	ТР	FN	FP	TN	(TP — TN)	
TOTBSQ, $10^{10}$ G²Total Lorentz force $4.43 \pm 0.41$ $1.53 \pm 0.17$ $3.57 \pm 0.32$ $0.83 \pm 0.04$ 89.TOTUSJZ, $10^{13}$ ATotal unsigned vertical current $5.43 \pm 0.45$ $1.86 \pm 0.21$ $4.48 \pm 0.35$ $1.00 \pm 0.05$ 85.TOTFZ, $10^{23}$ dyneTotal vertical Lorentz force $-3.30 \pm 0.51$ $-0.61 \pm 0.19$ $-1.55 \pm 0.20$ $-0.34 \pm 0.04$ 78.SAVNCPP, $10^{13}$ ASum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ 74.ABSNJZH, G²/mAbsolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm²AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ 20.SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	USFLUX, 10 <sup>22</sup> Mx	Total unsigned flux	$\textbf{3.30} \pm \textbf{0.29}$	$\textbf{1.07} \pm \textbf{0.11}$	$\textbf{2.48} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	$\textbf{0.56} \pm \textbf{0.03}$	94.71	
TOTUSJZ, $10^{13}$ ATotal unsigned vertical current $5.43 \pm 0.45$ $1.86 \pm 0.21$ $4.48 \pm 0.35$ $1.00 \pm 0.05$ 85.TOTFZ, $10^{23}$ dyneTotal vertical Lorentz force $-3.30 \pm 0.51$ $-0.61 \pm 0.19$ $-1.55 \pm 0.20$ $-0.34 \pm 0.04$ 78.SAVNCPP, $10^{13}$ ASum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ 74.ABSNJZH, $G^2/m$ Absolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ 20.SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	TOTUSJH, 10 <sup>2</sup> G <sup>2</sup> /m	Total unsigned current helicity	$\textbf{23.87} \pm \textbf{2.09}$	$\textbf{7.89} \pm \textbf{0.81}$	$\textbf{19.45} \pm \textbf{1.42}$	$\textbf{4.29} \pm \textbf{0.21}$	91.27	
TOTFZ, $10^{23}$ dyneTotal vertical Lorentz force $-3.30 \pm 0.51$ $-0.61 \pm 0.19$ $-1.55 \pm 0.20$ $-0.34 \pm 0.04$ 78.SAVNCPP, $10^{13}$ ASum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ 74.ABSNJZH, G <sup>2</sup> /mAbsolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ 20.SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	TOTBSQ, 10 <sup>10</sup> G <sup>2</sup>	Total Lorentz force	$\textbf{4.43} \pm \textbf{0.41}$	$\textbf{1.53} \pm \textbf{0.17}$	$\textbf{3.57} \pm \textbf{0.32}$	$\textbf{0.83} \pm \textbf{0.04}$	89.10	
SAVNCPP, $10^{13}$ ASum of net current per polarity $1.14 \pm 0.11$ $0.39 \pm 0.05$ $0.93 \pm 0.10$ $0.24 \pm 0.01$ 74.ABSNJZH, G <sup>2</sup> /mAbsolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ 20.SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	TOTUSJZ, 10 <sup>13</sup> A	Total unsigned vertical current	$\textbf{5.43} \pm \textbf{0.45}$	$\textbf{1.86} \pm \textbf{0.21}$	$\textbf{4.48} \pm \textbf{0.35}$	$\textbf{1.00} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	85.68	
ABSNJZH, G²/mAbsolute net current helicity $254.59 \pm 31.95$ $68.37 \pm 12.66$ $208.28 \pm 28.53$ $40.68 \pm 2.92$ 73.TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ 71.AREA, Mm²AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ 71.R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ 20.SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	TOTFZ, 10 <sup>23</sup> dyne	Total vertical Lorentz force	$-$ 3.30 $\pm$ 0.51	$-$ 0.61 $\pm$ 0.19	$-$ 1.55 $\pm$ 0.20	$-$ 0.34 $\pm$ 0.04	78.54	
TOTPOT, $10^{23}$ erg/cmTotal magnetic free energy $5.20 \pm 0.61$ $1.44 \pm 0.30$ $4.80 \pm 0.61$ $0.72 \pm 0.06$ $71.$ AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup> AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ $71.$ R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ $20.$ SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.$	SAVNCPP, 10 <sup>13</sup> A	Sum of net current per polarity	$1.14\pm0.11$	$\textbf{0.39} \pm \textbf{0.05}$	$\textbf{0.93} \pm \textbf{0.10}$	$\textbf{0.24} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	74.70	
AREA, $Mm^2$ AR area $262.17 \pm 19.99$ $110.95 \pm 11.88$ $222.82 \pm 18.35$ $62.75 \pm 2.81$ $71.723$ R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.723$ SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.723$	ABSNJZH, G <sup>2</sup> /m	Absolute net current helicity	$\textbf{254.59} \pm \textbf{31.95}$	$\textbf{68.37} \pm \textbf{12.66}$	$\textbf{208.28} \pm \textbf{28.53}$	$\textbf{40.68} \pm \textbf{2.92}$	73.23	
R_VALUE, MxFlux near polarity inversion line $4.06 \pm 0.09$ $2.81 \pm 0.25$ $4.04 \pm 0.08$ $2.09 \pm 0.09$ $20.20 \pm 0.09$ SHRGT45, %Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ $8.20 \pm 0.09$	TOTPOT, 10 <sup>23</sup> erg/cm	Total magnetic free energy	$\textbf{5.20} \pm \textbf{0.61}$	$\textbf{1.44} \pm \textbf{0.30}$	$\textbf{4.80} \pm \textbf{0.61}$	$\textbf{0.72} \pm \textbf{0.06}$	71.71	
SHRGT45, % Area with shear >45° $29.76 \pm 1.85$ $24.87 \pm 3.81$ $37.30 \pm 2.04$ $20.24 \pm 1.17$ 8.	AREA, Mm <sup>2</sup>	AR area	$\textbf{262.17} \pm \textbf{19.99}$	$\textbf{110.95} \pm \textbf{11.88}$	$\textbf{222.82} \pm \textbf{18.35}$	$\textbf{62.75} \pm \textbf{2.81}$	71.00	
	R₋VALUE, Mx	Flux near polarity inversion line	$\textbf{4.06} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	$\textbf{2.81} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	$\textbf{4.04} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$\textbf{2.09} \pm \textbf{0.09}$	20.95	
MEANPOT, 10 <sup>2</sup> erg/cm <sup>3</sup> Mean magnetic free energy 68.34 $\pm$ 4.61 54.82 $\pm$ 10.08 81.42 $\pm$ 6.64 45.51 $\pm$ 3.04 7.	SHRGT45, %	Area with shear $>45^{\circ}$	$\textbf{29.76} \pm \textbf{1.85}$	$\textbf{24.87} \pm \textbf{3.81}$	$\textbf{37.30} \pm \textbf{2.04}$	$\textbf{20.24} \pm \textbf{1.17}$	8.10	
	MEANPOT, 10 <sup>2</sup> erg/cm <sup>3</sup>	Mean magnetic free energy	$\textbf{68.34} \pm \textbf{4.61}$	$\textbf{54.82} \pm \textbf{10.08}$	$\textbf{81.42} \pm \textbf{6.64}$	$\textbf{45.51} \pm \textbf{3.04}$	7.51	

True positives (TP) and false negatives (FN) are observations from flaring ARs which are classified as flaring and nonflaring, respectively. True negatives (TN) and false positives (FP) are observations from nonflaring ARs that are classified as nonflaring and flaring, respectively.

Dhuri, Hanasoge & Cheung (PNAS 20th May 2019)

### **Follows ML approach of** Bobra & Couvidat (2015)

Flaring ARs,

>72 h from flare

Nonflaring ARs

PNAS Latest Articles

SDO/HMI Vector Magnetograms Important for Flare Prediction







## Summary

Data-inspired, Data-constrained, Data-Driven Physics based models. NASA's Solar Dynamics Observatory a poster child for a successful science mission that also contributes to operational space weather. How?

- Open data policy (legacy of SOHO; NASA Heliophysics leadership): Near-real time (nearly science quality) data available within minutes. Final science data available within days.
- Meta-databases (HEK) + APIs (not just some passive FTP site)
- Instruments and investigations operated by teams who care about the science.

BTW Don't take SDO for granted. No space-based Sun-Earth line solar magnetogram funded.

Open data + open source software + machine learning frameworks + (relatively) inexpensive compute will let us use our sensor networks more effectively, and make improvements to space weather predictions.

### https://tinyurl.com/ictp-cheung







Backup slides





### Modeling Geomagnetic Variations using a Machine Learning Framework

Mark C. M. Cheung<sup>1,2,3</sup> (cheung@Imsal.com), Casey Handmer<sup>3</sup>, Burçu Kosar<sup>4,3,\*</sup>, George Gerules<sup>3</sup>, Bala Poduval<sup>5,3,\*</sup>, Graham Mackintosh<sup>3</sup>, Andrés Muñoz-Jaramillo<sup>6,3</sup>, Monica Bobra<sup>2,3</sup>, Troy Hernandez<sup>7,3</sup>, Ryan McGranaghan<sup>8,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Lockheed Martin Solar & Astrophysics Lab, Palo Alto, CA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Stanford University, CA, USA, <sup>3</sup>NASA Frontier Development Lab, Mountain View, CA, USA, <sup>4</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, MD, USA, <sup>5</sup>Space Science Institute, Boulder, CO, USA, <sup>6</sup>Southwest Research Institute, Boulder, CO, USA, <sup>7</sup>IBM, Chicago, IL, USA, <sup>8</sup>Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, CA, USA, \*presenting authors

### NASA FRONTIER DEVELOPMENT LAB 2017

- an Artificial Intelligence (AI) R &D accelerator
- to tackle important questions in the space sciences
- an intense 8-week focused study
- topics: Planetary Defense, Space Weather and Space Resources



### **MACHINE LEARNING/AI**



Keras: An open source neural network (NN) library written in Python. Scikit-Learn: A free machine learning library for Python, featuring various classification, regression and clustering algorithms including support vector machines, random forests, gradient boosting, etc.. It is designed to operate with the Python numerical and scientific libraries NumPy and SciPy.

TensorFlow: Another open source software library for machine learning, designed for building and training deep neural networks to detect and decipher patterns and correlations.

### Kp INDEX

The K-indices quantify the disturbances in the horizontal component of geomagnetic field, represented by an integer in the range 0-9. It is derived from the maximum fluctuations of horizontal components during three-hour intervals. The planetary index Kp is the mean of standardized K-indices from 13 stations between  $44^{\circ}$  and  $60^{\circ}$  N/S geomagnetic latitude. NOAA/Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC) makes use of the Kp index when issuing geomagnetic storm warnings.

G-Scale	Кр	Activity Level	Occurrence Frequency
G0	4 & lower	Below Storm	
G1	5	Minor Storm	1700 per cycle (900 days per cycle)
G2	6	Moderate Storm	600 per cycle (360 days per cycle)
G3	7	Strong Storm	200 per cycle (130 days per cycle)
G4	8	Severe Storm	100 per cycle (60 days per cycle)
G5	9	Extreme Storm	4 per cycle (4 days per cycle)



Figure : 1 Kp index during Halloween event (left) and during a very quiet period (right).

### THE PROBLEM DEFINITION

(Q1) Can we apply machine learning We obtained the mean square errors between observed and predicted Kp indices using various models. Also, we computed the p-statistics to determine the (ML) to forecast geomagnetic variabilstatistical significance of how well the models do compared with each other. ity using solar wind and ground-based With > 95% confidence, the models have different performance metrics. measurements?

(Q2) Without imposing a priori, firstprinciples based, physical models of the solar wind-driven geomagnetic system, what insights can ML extract from the data?

### **SPACE WEATHER EVENTS & THEIR SOCIO-ECONOMIC IMPACTS**

- systems in space and on Earth.
- electrical power grid.
- Plan SWAP). Improved predictions offer better protection for space weather stakeholders.



### DATA USED

Period of Study: 2016 (descending phase of Solar cycles 24)

- Observed solar wind properties: Multispacecaft compilation of solar wind observations at Lagrangian point 1: http://omniweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/. solar wind speed, proton density, heliospheric magnetic field (HMF) intensity, HMF  $B_z$ , etc.
- @ Geomagnetic field measurements 14 US stations operated by US Geological Survey.
- Kp (planetary K) index
  i





### METRIC OF ACCURACY

	ML method	1h ahead	3h ahead	6h ahead	
1	Persist	0.007	0.020	0.025	
[ <b>-</b>	Mean	0.046	0.046	0.046	
of	Median	0.048	0.048	0.048	
-	Gradient Boosting	0.007	0.015	0.021	> 95% confidence level
) .+	Adaptive Boost	0.012	0.018	0.032	
i.	Extra Trees	0.009	0.021	0.027	
	Random Forest	0.015	0.015	0.026	

· Space Weather: Solar-driven fluctuations in the near-Earth environment leading to disruptions and damages to our critical infrastructure and technological

Space Weather events: Solar flares, coronal mass ejections (CMEs), solar energetic particle (SEP) events, solar radio bursts, geomagnetic disturbances Space Weather impacts: Disruptions in wireless communications, Global Positioning System (GPS), satellite operations and communication, aviation, and the

Space Weather forecast: Using physics-based and empirical models to mitigate the impacts of extreme space weather events (National Space Weather Action

				-	
	Physical measure	Average Frequency (1 cycle = 11 years)	Date	Event	Level
r, some grid	Kp = 9	4 per cycle (4 days per cycle)			
uplink/downlink gation may be -frequency exas (typically		(	1 Sept 1859	Carrington Event widespread disruption of telegraph	Extreme
mistakenly Kp = 8, including a 9- radic, satellite		100 per cycle (60 days per cycle)	13 March 1989	Hydro-Quebec 9 hour black out	Severe
en as low as			20/21 Jan 1994	Anik-E1 and Anik-E2 failed	Moderate
devices. Kp = 7 200 per cycle on low-Earth- (130 days per cycle)			Disrupted TV and computer transmission		
geomagnetic			14 July 2000	Bastille Day Event	Extreme
rms may cause	Kp = 6	600 per cycle (360 days per cycle)			-
ssible changes			31 October	Halloween Events	Extreme
low as New			2003	Affected airlines, caused power outages, damaged transformers,	
Kp = 5		1700 per cycle (900 days per cycle)		led astronauts on ISS to take shelter	

### **PREDICTED** Kp



Figure : 4 Actual observed Kp (calculated from ground observations) (black dots) and corresponding values from 3-hr ahead forecast using a persistence (dark green dots), global mean (light green dots) and gradient boosting (yellow dots) models.

We used nearly 7 months of data to train the model and then tested the model by predicting the Kp indices for 3 months (Figure 4 shows a subset of the test data). The training and testing data were partitioned such that the models have not seen data with any overlap between the two sets.

The Gradient Boosting Regressor model provided the best results, consistently beating a persistence model (i.e. the current Kp index predicted not to change in the future) and various machine learning models in scikit-learn, with a confidence level > 95%.



**RELATIVE IMPORTANCE OF INPUT PARAMETERS** 

Figure : 5 Relative importance of input parameters in the prediction of Kp using the Gradient Boosting Regressor model.

### SUMMARY & CONCLUDING REMARKS

Nithout prior domain knowledge, the model learned that the most impo tant precursor is the current Kp index.

- Other important factors:
- Solar wind speed and proton density.
- Solar wind magnetic field strength and Bz.

Moreover, the model suggested that the N-S component of the geomag etic field at low latitude stations - Guam (GUA), Hawaii (HON), Puerto Rico (SJG), are also important precursors. These quantities are largely in luenced by ring current and therefore, this finding implies the importance f considering the effects of ring current in the prediction of geomagnet storm. This result came as a total surprise since the machine learning a gorithm was not expected to be capable of learning such heuristics withour rior knowledge!

Scope: Based on the results we feel confident that the method can be applied to address other aspects of the socio-economic impact of space weather by predicting the appropriate variable if sufficient data exist. **Ultimate goal:** To couple the complex and dynamic solar-terrestrial sysem using AI.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Thanks are also due to IBM for providing the computing resources for the project and NVIDIA, Intel, Lockheed Martin and Kx for their partnership in the FDL 2017. B. Poduval wishes to acknowledge Dr. J. Love and colleagues, USGS; Dr. Jennifer Ganon, CPI and Robert Steenburgh, NOAA, for the many discussions helpful for this project.

### ACRONYMS

GB

NN

- AI Artificial Intelligence
- Machine Learning
- RMSE Root Mean Square Error
- K 'Kennziffer' for 'characteristic digit.'

Gradient Boosting Regressor Neural Network Kp index Planetary K index

Also, the Gradient Boosting model ranks the input features by their relative importance for creating a good prediction (Figure 5).

MI



